FRANK'S TRIAL LEGAL, DECLARES GROSSMAN The Atlanta Constitution (1881-2001): Dec 29, 1914: ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945)

## FRANK'S TRIAL LEGAL, **DECLARES GROSSMAN**

## Tells Big Audience Question Is Did Prisoner Receive Law or Justice.

"We must concede Leo Frank was tried in a legal manner, but the question is, did he get justice or law," said Leonard J. Grossman, the attorney, in an address before a large crowd at the Jewish Educational alliance last "I come before you directly from the death cell in the Tower with a message from him with whom our hearts are at this hour. Leo Frank, of recrimination without one word without one word of recrimination against any one, expresses his stout confidence in the vindication of his name and honor," said Mr. Grossman. "It is not for us as citizens of Georgia to impugn any judge who has passed on this case, nor should we attain the state of the state

passed on this case, nor should we attribute an ignoble motive to a single juror or a somewhat zealous prosecution. Whatever prejudice exists is mostly in our own imagination, and to call attention to it is to encourage it. "No one denies there was present during the trial of Leo Frank an element which, not under oath, testified as witnesses, and not sworn as jurors, they passed their approval on each point scored by the prosecution, but it is not for us, as loyal Georgians, to say even such a demonstration influenced an honest Georgia jury. It is for us to support the established institutions and remember not the partisans back home, but the supperme court of the United States is the guarantor that the rights of no citizen shall be violated. Not until the proper remedy is the United States is the guarantor hat the rights of no citizen shall be vio-lated. Not until the proper remedy is invoked in the proper way can Leo Frank expect justice, and as Georgians we must protect our institutions, our courts and jusces from unfair criti-cism and abuse.

we must be courts and judges from union courts and abuse."

An audience of over 600 heard the address of Mr. Grossman, which followed an interesting debate under the auspices of the public speaking department of the Jewish alliance. All four of the debaters were young ladies, the subject for discussion being whether contentment is a virtue or a fault. Miss Neilie Frankel and Miss Bessic Zaban won the decision of the judges for the affirmative. All four speakforthe affirmative. Zaban won the decision of the jump-for the affirmative. All four speak-ers invoked original and sound philoso-phy to support their well-prepared ar-guments. The negative speakers were Miss Sarah Feldman and Miss Bessle Robkin. A beautiful musical selection Robkin. A beautiful musical selection by Miss Blondheim, accompanied by Miss Bell Levy, followed. The meet-ing was presided over by Sam Eplan. Other speakers on the evening's pro-gram were Abe Feldman and Sam Levy.